Waveform modelling with numerical relativity: the key to decode GW150914

Mark Hannam Cardiff University



GWI50914 meeting Hannover, May 24 2016







The binary black hole problem (1964—2005)

Boosted Three-Dimensional Black-Hole Evolutions with Singularity Excision

The accurate computational modeling of black-hole interactions is essential to the confident detection of astrophysical gravitational radiation by future space-based detectors such as LISA and by the LIGO/VIRGO/GEO complex of ground-based detectors currently under construction. The sensitivity of these detectors will be significantly enhanced if accurate computer simulations of black-hole mergers can produce predictions of radiation waveforms [1]. The Binary Black Hole Grand Challenge Alliance [2] was funded in September 1993 to develop the computational infrastructure for accurate simulations of the coalescence of black-hole binaries. The primary objective of the resulting code will be the prediction of waveforms from binary black-hole mergers. In this Letter we report on an important step towards achieving such simulations.



Computational Cost



 $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$

Numerically stable formulations

 $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$ (3+1 split)



$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$
(3+1 split)

$$\bar{R} + K^2 - K_{ij}K^{ij} = 0,$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_j K^{ij} - \gamma^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_j K = 0.$$

 $\begin{aligned} \partial_t \gamma_{ij} &= -2NK_{ij} + \bar{\nabla}_i \beta_j + \bar{\nabla}_j \beta_i, \\ \partial_t K_{ij} &= -\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j N + N \left(R_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K_j^k + K K_{ij} \right) \\ &+ \beta^k \bar{\nabla}_k K_{ij} + K_{ik} \bar{\nabla}_j \beta^k + K_{kj} \bar{\nabla}_i \beta^k. \end{aligned}$

[ADM (1962), York (1979)]

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$
(3+1 split)

$$\bar{R} + K^2 - K_{ij}K^{ij} = 0,$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_j K^{ij} - \gamma^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_j K = 0.$$

 $\begin{aligned} \partial_t \gamma_{ij} &= -2NK_{ij} + \bar{\nabla}_i \beta_j + \bar{\nabla}_j \beta_i, \\ \partial_t K_{ij} &= -\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j N + N \left(R_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K_j^k + K K_{ij} \right) \\ &+ \beta^k \bar{\nabla}_k K_{ij} + K_{ik} \bar{\nabla}_j \beta^k + K_{kj} \bar{\nabla}_i \beta^k. \end{aligned}$

[ADM (1962), York (1979)]



Black-hole singularities



Black-hole singularities





"punctures"





Excision







Gauge conditions





Gauge conditions







Gauge conditions









Initial conditions



Initial conditions



Boosted Three-Dimensional Black-Hole Evolutions with Singularity Excision

The accurate computational modeling of black-hole interactions is essential to the confident detection of astrophysical gravitational radiation by future space-based detectors such as LISA and by the LIGO/VIRGO/GEO complex of ground-based detectors currently under construction. The sensitivity of these detectors will be significantly enhanced if accurate computer simulations of black-hole mergers can produce predictions of radiation waveforms [1]. The Binary Black Hole Grand Challenge Alliance [2] was funded in September 1993 to develop the computational infrastructure for accurate simulations of the coalescence of black-hole binaries. The primary objective of the resulting code will be the prediction of waveforms from binary black-hole mergers. In this Letter we report on an important step towards achieving such simulations.

Boosted Three-Dimensional Black-Hole Evolutions with Singularity Excision

Binary black-hole interactions provide potentially the strongest source of gravitational radiation for detectors currently under development. We present some results from the Binary Black Hole Grand Challenge Alliance three-dimensional Cauchy evolution module. These constitute essential steps towards modeling such interactions and predicting gravitational radiation waveforms. We report on single black-hole evolutions and the first successful demonstration of a black hole moving freely through a three-dimensional computational grid via a Cauchy evolution: a hole moving near 6M at 0.1c during a total evolution of duration near 60M. [S0031-9007(98)05652-X]

2005: Breakthrough!

Pretorius (July): Generalised harmonic formalism

NASA-Goddard and Brownsville-Texas (November): moving-puncture method.











Waveform modelling and black-hole measurements



Plus: distance, sky location,

orientation, polarisation

Nonspinning black holes





Amplitude:



Signal shape is independent of orientation

Key information is in the phasing

Aligned spins









Mass measurements (non-spinning)



[Hannam, et. al (2013)]

Aligned spins



[Hannam, et. al (2013)]



[Hannam, et. al (2013)]

What is " χ "?

 χ is a weighted <u>sum</u> of the two spins

 χ is the dominant spin effect on the phasing

The individual spins have only a weak effect

$$\chi = \frac{m_1\chi_1 + m_2\chi_2}{M} - \frac{76\eta}{226} (\chi_1 + \chi_2)$$

(50-solar-mass, equal spins)



[Puerrer, Hannam, Ohme (2016)]

$$\chi = \frac{m_1\chi_1 + m_2\chi_2}{M} - \frac{76\eta}{226} (\chi_1 + \chi_2)$$

(50-solar-mass, equal spins)



[Puerrer, Hannam, Ohme (2016)]

Phenom (frequency domain)







- (a) PN-based ansatz
- (b) phenomenological fit (based on NR behaviour)
- (c) FFT of ringdown waveform (Lorentzian)
- Analytic: fast

- (a) EOB + terms tuned to NR waveforms
- (b) Smooth transition to ringdown
- Includes both spins
- Numerically solve ODEs: slow
- Speed-up: Reduced-order models



[Khan, et. al (2016)]



[Khan, et. al (2016)]



[Khan, et. al (2016)]



Orbital precession



Newtonian gravity: L, S_1 , S_2 remain fixed

Orbital precession



General relativity (L, S_1, S_2) precess around J

Precessional dynamics





Large separation



Aside: modelling precession

Precessing waveform = (non-precessing waveform) x (time dependent rotation)

(q=3 precessing binary, inclination 2.8 rad)



[Schmidt, Hannam, Husa (2012)]

(q=3 precessing binary, inclination 2.8 rad)



[Schmidt, Hannam, Husa (2012)]

(q=3 precessing binary, inclination 2.8 rad)



[Schmidt, Hannam, Husa (2012)]

For non-precessing binaries spin effects dominated by only *one* key spin parameter

Does something similar happen for precession? i.e., can we replace the four in-plane spin components with one "precession spin"?

PhenomP

- Non-precessing model: PhenomD
- Twist with (analytic) PN precession angles
- Approximation: use PN angles through ringdown.





[Hannam, et al. (2014)]

SEOBNRv3

- Non-precessing model: inspiral part of SEOBNRv2
- Twist with solution of precessing-EOB dynamics
- Attach ringdown
- Includes all 6 spin components



[Pan, et al. (2014), Taracchini, et. al. (2014)]

Neither precessing model is tuned to precessing NR simulations!

Orientation dependence $q=3, |S_2| = 0.75$ (in plane) Observer aligned

with **J**





Equal-mass nonspinning BBH consistent with GW150914



Unequal-mass precessing BBH consistent with GWI50914



"Face-on" to the source





"Edge-on" to the source









NR simulations around GWI50914





Waveform model systematics



[Preliminary]

Local modelling

Several hundred NR simulations performed

• Cross-check of parameter estimates

• Reduced-order-quadrature model

PhenomP tuned through merger

Future observations

- SNR 25 at the accuracy limit of current models
- GWI50914 was in the best-modelled region
- Better models need
 - Higher harmonics
 - Precession physics through merger
 - More accuracy (!)
- BUT: degeneracies will not evaporate!